

### REMARKS

The September 13, 2007 restriction requirement holds that this application contains claims directed to two patentably distinct Species. More specifically, Applicant is required to elect one of the following Species for prosecution on the merits under 35 U.S.C. §121:

Species I – Figures 1-4 (claims 1 and 3-6); and  
Species II – Figures 5 and 6 (claims 2 and 7-10).

In response, Applicant hereby elects Species I *without traverse*. Applicant believes claims 1 and 3-6 read on the elected Species I. Thus, claims 2 and 7-10 can be withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected embodiment. Claims 2 and 7-10 have been cancelled by the current Amendment. Applicant will file a divisional application directed to these non-elected claims. However, Applicant respectfully requests that these non-elected claims be considered and rejoined in this application upon allowance of a generic and/or claim in accordance with U.S. patent practice, if appropriate.

\* \* \*

Prompt examination on the merits is respectfully requested. If there are any questions regarding this application, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/Patrick A. Hilsmier/  
Patrick A. Hilsmier  
Reg. No. 46,034

GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP  
1233 Twentieth Street, NW, Suite 700  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202)-293-0444

Dated: October 11, 2007

SA\10-OCT07-YTY\DK-US065117 RestrictionResponse.doc